



A COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREM FOR THREE SELF MAPS

Swatmaram

Department of Mathematics
C.B.I.T., Hyderabad, India

Abstract:

A fixed point theorem of Sharma and yuel is extended to three self maps through the notion of compatible self-maps and associated sequence.

Keywords: Compatible self-maps associated sequence, orbitally complete metric space and Common fixed point.

Introduction:

Let (X, d) be a metric space. Self-maps P and Q are said to be commuting if $PQx = QPx$ for all $x \in X$.

Definition 1.1: According to Jungck [1], self-maps P and Q on X are compatible if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(PQx_n, QPx_n) = 0$, when ever $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence in X such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Px_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Qx_n = z \text{ for some } z \in X.$$

We need the following definitions from [2].

Definition 1.2: Given $x_0 \in X$ and P, Q and R be self maps on X , we can find points $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots$, then the associated sequence $(y_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ with the choice $y_{2n+1} = Px_{2n+1} = Qx_{2n}$, $y_{2n} = Px_{2n} = Rx_{2n-1}$ for all $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \dots$ (1) is called an (Q, R, P) -orbit or simply an orbit $O(x_0)$ at x_0 .

Definition 1.3: The space X is (Q, R, P) -orbitally complete at x_0 if every Cauchy sequence in some orbit $O(x_0)$ converges in X .

Sharma et al [3] proved the following result:

Theorem A: Let R be a continuous self-map on X such that

$$d(Rx, Ry) \leq \alpha d(x, y) + \frac{\beta d(x, Rx)d(y, Ry)}{d(x, y)} + \gamma [d(x, Rx) + d(y, Ry)]$$



$$+\delta[d(x, Ry) + d(y, Rx)] \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X, x \neq y \quad \dots (2)$$

Where α, β, γ and $\delta \geq 0$ such that $\alpha + \beta + 2\gamma + 2\delta < 1$. Then R has a unique fixed point.

In this paper we extend Theorem A, to three self-maps using the notion of compatible self maps and associated sequence.

Main Result:

Theorem B. Let Q, R and P be continuous self maps on X satisfying the inequality

$$d(Qx, Ry) \leq \alpha d(Px, Py) + \frac{\beta d(Px, Qx)d(Py, Ry)}{d(Px, Py)} + \gamma[d(Px, Qx) + d(Py, Ry)] + \delta[d(Px, Ry) + d(Py, Qx)] \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X, Px \neq Py \dots(3)$$

Where α, β, γ and $\delta \geq 0$. Such that $\alpha + \beta + 2\gamma + 2\delta < 1$.

Suppose that

- (i) There is an associated sequence with the choice (1)
- (ii) X is orbitally complete
- (iii) (Q, P) and (R, P) are compatible

Then Q, R and P have a unique common fixed point.

Proof: From the inequality (3) and the definition of choice (1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(Px_{2n+1}, Px_{2n}) &= d(Qx_{2n}, Rx_{2n-1}) \\ &\leq \alpha d(Px_{2n}, Px_{2n-1}) + \frac{\beta d(Px_{2n}, Qx_{2n})d(Px_{2n-1}, Rx_{2n-1})}{d(Px_{2n}, Px_{2n-1})} \\ &\quad + \gamma[d(Px_{2n}, Qx_{2n}) + d(Px_{2n-1}, Rx_{2n-1})] + \delta[d(Px_{2n}, Rx_{2n-1}) + d(Px_{2n-1}, Qx_{2n})] \\ &\leq \alpha d(Px_{2n}, Px_{2n-1}) + \frac{\beta d(Px_{2n}, Px_{2n+1})d(Px_{2n-1}, Px_{2n})}{d(Px_{2n}, Px_{2n-1})} \\ &\quad + \gamma[d(Px_{2n}, Px_{2n+1}) + d(Px_{2n-1}, Px_{2n})] + \delta[d(Px_{2n}, Px_{2n}) + d(Px_{2n-1}, Px_{2n+1})] \\ d(Px_{2n+1}, Px_{2n}) &\leq h d(Px_{2n}, Px_{2n-1}) \quad \dots \quad (4) \end{aligned}$$

Where $h = \frac{(\alpha + \gamma + \delta)}{(1 - \beta - \gamma - \delta)}$. Note that $h < 1$, since $\alpha + \beta + 2\gamma + 2\delta < 1$.



Similarly from (1) and (3), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(Px_{2n-1}, Px_{2n}) &= d(Qx_{2n-2}, Rx_{2n-1}) \\
 &\leq \alpha d(Px_{2n-2}, Px_{2n-1}) + \frac{\beta d(Px_{2n-2}, Qx_{2n-2})d(Px_{2n-1}, Rx_{2n-1})}{d(Px_{2n-2}, Px_{2n-1})} \\
 &\quad + \gamma [d(Px_{2n-2}, Qx_{2n-2}) + d(Px_{2n-1}, Rx_{2n-1})] \\
 &\quad + \delta [d(Px_{2n-2}, Rx_{2n-1}) + d(Px_{2n-1}, Qx_{2n-2})] \\
 &\leq \alpha d(Px_{2n-2}, Px_{2n-1}) + \frac{\beta d(Px_{2n-2}, Px_{2n-1})d(Px_{2n-1}, Px_{2n})}{d(Px_{2n-2}, Px_{2n-1})} \\
 &\quad + \gamma [d(Px_{2n-2}, Px_{2n-1}) + d(Px_{2n-1}, Px_{2n})] \\
 &\quad + \delta [d(Px_{2n-2}, Px_{2n}) + d(Px_{2n-1}, Px_{2n-1})] \\
 d(Px_{2n-1}, Px_{2n}) &\leq h d(Px_{2n-2}, Px_{2n-1}) \quad \dots \quad (5)
 \end{aligned}$$

From (4) and (5), $d(Px_{2n+1}, Px_{2n}) \leq h^2 d(Px_{2n-2}, Px_{2n-1})$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, which by induction on n , implies that $d(Px_{2n+1}, Px_{2n}) \leq h^{2n} d(Px_1, Px_0)$ for all n . Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, this gives $d(Px_{2n+1}, Px_{2n}) \rightarrow 0$, since $0 \leq h < 1$. Therefore, $\langle Px_n \rangle$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . Since X is orbitally complete, we find a point $v \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Px_{2n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Qx_{2n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Px_{2n+2} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Rx_{2n+1} = v. \dots (6)$$

Now, the continuity of Q and P , the compatibility of the pair (Q, P) and (6) will give

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(Qv, Pv) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(QPx_{2n}, PQx_{2n}) = 0 \text{ so that } Qv = Pv. \text{ While the} \\
 &\text{continuity of } R \text{ and } P, \text{ the compatibility of the pair } (P, R) \text{ and (6) imply} \\
 d(Rv, Pv) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(RPx_{2n+1}, PRx_{2n+1}) = 0 \text{ so that } Rv = Pv. \text{ Hence} \\
 Qv &= Pv = Rv = z, \text{ say.} \quad \dots \quad (7)
 \end{aligned}$$

Again from the continuity of Q and P , (6) and (7) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(Q^2x_{2n}, PQx_{2n}) &= d(Qv, Pv) = 0. \text{ Therefore in view of the} \\
 &\text{compatibility of } Q \text{ and } P, \text{ this gives } d(PQv, QPv) = \\
 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(PQ^2x_{2n}, QPQx_{2n}) &= 0 \text{ or } PQv = QPv.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly from the continuity of R and P , (6), (7) and the compatibility of (R, P) we get $PRv = RPv$. Thus in view of (7), we get

$$PQv = QPv = PQv = PRv = RPv = RQv \quad \dots \quad (8)$$

Now we prove z is a fixed point of R . If z is not a fixed point of R , then from (3), (7) and (8) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 < d(z, Rz) &= d(Qv, RQv) \leq \alpha d(Pv, PQv) + \frac{\beta d(Pv, Qv)d(PQv, RQv)}{d(Pv, PQv)} \\
 &\quad + \gamma [d(Pv, Qv) + d(PQv, RQv)] + \delta [d(Pv, RQv) + d(PQv, Qv)] \\
 d(z, Rz) &\leq (\alpha + 2\delta)d(Pv, RQv) < d(Pv, RQv) = d(z, Rz).
 \end{aligned}$$

Which is a contradiction. Thus we have $Rz = z$.



Hence from (7) and (8), we can say z is also a fixed point of Q and P . Thus z is a common fixed point of Q, R and P . The uniqueness of the fixed point can be easily proved.

Remark:

Since every complete metric space is orbitally complete at each of its points writing $Q = R$ and $P = I$, the identity map on X In the Inequality (3) of Theorem B we get the Theorem A.

References

- [1]Gerald Jungck, Compatible mappings and common fixed points, *Int. Jour. Math. & Math. Sci*, 9 (1986), 771-779.
- [2]Phaneendra T, Asymptotic regularity and common fixed point , *Pure and App. Math. Sci.*, 59(1-2), (2004), 45-49.
- [3]Sharma P.L. and Yuel A.K., A unique fixed point theorem in metric space, *Bull. Cal. Math Soc.*, (76), (1984), 153-156.